THIRD QUARTER 2025 STEWARDSHIP INSIGHTS





We highlight significant themes from the 2025 proxy season. Specific company examples illustrate how we develop a perspective on key issues and engage with companies to inform our voting decisions.

INTRODUCTION

Every year, in the third quarter, we profile some of the more significant proxy votes from the season. This proxy season differed from years past, as our ESG team took a more formalized role in the process, providing a synthesized view of key issues and vote recommendations to the relevant investment analyst. Below, we highlight some of the more notable themes from the season.

SEASON THEMES: BOARD COMPOSITION

The role of the board of directors is to oversee the company on behalf of its owners, i.e., the shareholders. Therefore, the proxy votes we cast for board members of any company we own are arguably some of the most important. However, assessing the effectiveness of the board as a whole and/or the individual board members can be very difficult from the outside looking in. Data suggests investors need to do more to evaluate the effectiveness of boards. For example, while average director support remains above 90% globally, studies indicate much higher rates of dissatisfaction with individual board members. A recent PwC study indicates 55% of boards believe they have at least one underperforming director¹. We have highlighted two examples where our evaluation of individual directors informed our proxy voting decisions.

The Swatch Group AG: Swiss Luxury Watch Manufacturer

For the second consecutive year, we voted against all members of the Swatch Board of Directors to signal our strong preference for board refreshment. The board consisted of seven members, and those same seven members comprised each of the key board committees, including the audit committee. Boardstypically recruit specific individuals to serve on key committees, based on skills and experience.

Ideally, those committees would be majority, or, in the case of the audit committee, fully independent. It is our view that having the same seven members sitting on each of the key committees creates an environment for entrenched thinking, not least because many of these individuals are not independent and/or have had very long tenures on the board. We shared this point of view with company management and suggested a potential board member whom we think would be effective. We hope to see positive governance changes.

Coca-Cola Bottlers Japan Inc.: Bottler and Distributor of Coca-Cola Products in Japan

This proxy season, we raised our expectations of board independence in the Japanese market to majority independence, in line with how we think about independence in other regions where we invest. The independent outside director ratio for Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE) Prime-listed companies is now 44%2, which, in our view, is sufficient to advocate for majority independence. Typically, we vote against all non-independent board members in cases where the board is not majority independent. We make our own determination of independence and do not rely on a third party's definition. At Coca-Cola Bottlers Japan, significant governance improvements had been made, specifically returning cash to shareholders and improving return on equity (ROE). Consequently, we made an exception to our standard approach and voted for the reelection of the CEO and CFO, while voting against all other nonindependent directors. Our intention was to signal our support for management's recent governance improvements, while also indicating our preference for strong independent oversight of management moving forward.

^{1.} Source: 2025 Annual Corporate Directors Survey: Driving a culture of accountability in the boardroom

^{2.} Source: Tokyo Stock Exchange, Pzena Analysis.

STEWARDSHIP INSIGHTS CONT.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Executive compensation is typically a hot-button issue every proxy season, even though average pay support levels remain steady or are rising. We have always focused more on incentive alignment with long-term shareholders, rather than absolute pay quantum or other structural features. It is not often that we encounter situations of significantly misaligned interests, but when we do, we vote against executive compensation proposals, whether binding or advisory in nature. Below is an example from this proxy season.

Pfizer Inc.: Global Developer and Manufacturer of Pharmaceuticals

Pfizer decided to extend the vesting cycle in its longterm incentive plan (LTI), allowing executives two additional years to meet the required performance criteria. Pfizer deemed this modification necessary given changes in the external environment outside of executive control, namely the rapid drop in demand for the COVID-19 vaccine a few years into the pandemic. We considered this context and acknowledged that the adjusted vesting schedule was still performance-conditioned. However, we ultimately decided to vote against both the compensation proposal and members of the compensation committee up for election. We viewed Pfizer's move as effectively repricing the LTI, creating a misalignment with shareholder interests. Performance goals are set within a specific time frame for a reason. Allowing these goals to be reset mid-cycle undermines the integrity of setting performance goals in the first place.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Shareholder proposals related to environmental and social issues fell in both volume and support this season. We are generally supportive of this shift, as shareholder proposals have become increasingly specific and overly burdensome on management. Historically, while many of the issues raised may have

been material, we could not support the specifics of the individual proposals. There were still a few examples during the recent proxy season.

Shell plc: Global Oil & Gas Major

We voted against a shareholder proposal asking Shell to disclose how its liquefied natural gas (LNG) strategy is consistent with a pathway to net zero. Shell has made extensive disclosures about its LNG strategy and regularly publishes metrics to help shareholders assess progress. Given the uncertainties and dependencies in the various decarbonization pathways, we believe it would be counter to longterm shareholder interests for Shell to assume the global economy is on a deterministic path to net zero by 2050. We engage with Shell regularly on decarbonization and believe that management is sensibly deploying capex into areas of competitive advantage (e.g., carbon capture and storage, biofuels) at a pace consistent with the demand they see for these products. LNG remains a key bridging fuel in the transition, and we are supportive of Shell's plans to continue to grow this business.

LKQ Corporation: Alternative Aftermarket Auto Parts Distributor

We generally support actions to improve shareholder rights, such as providing the right for shareholders to call a special meeting. However, we voted against such a shareholder proposal at LKQ, because we disagreed with the specifics of the proposal. Given the presence of activists among LKQ's investors, we are wary of allowing the right to call a special meeting with only a 10% ownership threshold and no minimum holding period. This relatively low bar to call a special meeting could allow the activists to exercise outsized influence and encourage shortterm thinking, counter to our interests as long-term shareholders. While we voted against the specifics of this proposal, we engaged with LKQ to share our preference for the right to call a special meeting with a higher ownership threshold (15-20%) and a minimum one-year holding period.

FURTHER INFORMATION

These materials are intended solely for informational purposes. The views expressed reflect the current views of Pzena Investment Management ("PIM") as of the date hereof and are subject to change. PIM is a registered investment adviser registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. PIM does not undertake to advise you of any changes in the views expressed herein. There is no guarantee that any projection, forecast, or opinion in this material will be realized. Past performance is not indicative of future results.

All investments involve risk, including loss of principal. Investments may be in a variety of currencies and therefore changes in rates of exchange between currencies may cause the value of investments to decrease or increase. The price of equity securities may rise or fall because of economic or political changes or changes in a company's financial condition, sometimes rapidly or unpredictably. Investments in foreign securities involve political, economic and currency risks, greater volatility and differences in accounting methods. These risks are greater for investments in Emerging Markets. Investments in small-cap or mid-cap companies involve additional risks such as limited liquidity and greater volatility than larger companies. PIM's strategies emphasize a "value" style of investing, which targets undervalued companies with characteristics for improved valuations. This style of investing is subject to the risk that the valuations never improve or that returns on "value" securities may not move in tandem with the returns on other styles of investing or the stock market in general.

This document does not constitute a current or past recommendation, an offer, or solicitation of an offer to purchase any securities or provide investment advisory services and should not be construed as such. The information contained herein is general in nature and does not constitute legal, tax, or investment advice. PIM does not make any warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness. Prospective investors are encouraged to consult their own professional advisers as to the implications of making an investment in any securities or investment advisory services.

The specific portfolio securities discussed in this presentation are included for illustrative purposes only and were selected based on their ability to help you better understand our investment process. They were selected from securities in one or more of our strategies and were not selected based on performance. They do not represent all of the securities purchased or sold for our client accounts during any particular period, and it should not be assumed that investments in such securities were or will be profitable. PIM is a discretionary investment manager and does not make "recommendations" to buy or sell any securities. There is no assurance that any securities discussed herein remain in our portfolios at the time you receive this presentation or that securities sold have not been repurchased.

For U.K. Investors Only:

This marketing communication is issued by Pzena Investment Management, Ltd. ("PIM UK"). PIM UK is a limited company registered in England and Wales with registered number 09380422, and its registered office is at 34-37 Liverpool Street, London EC2M 7PP, United Kingdom. PIM UK is an appointed representative of Vittoria & Partners LLP (FRN 709710), which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"). The Pzena documents have been approved by Vittoria & Partners LLP and, in the UK, are only made available to professional clients and eligible counterparties as defined by the FCA.

For Jersey Investors Only:

Consent under the Control of Borrowing (Jersey) Order 1958 (the "COBO" Order) has not been obtained for the circulation of this document. Accordingly, the offer that is the subject of this document may only be made in Jersey where the offer is valid in the United Kingdom or Guernsey and is circulated in Jersey only to persons similar to those to whom, and in a manner similar to that in which, it is for the time being circulated in the United Kingdom, or Guernsey, as the case may be. The directors may, but are not obliged to, apply for such consent in the future. The services and/or products discussed herein are only suitable for sophisticated investors who understand the risks involved. Neither Pzena Investment Management, Ltd. nor Pzena Investment Management, LtC nor the activities of any functionary with regard to either Pzena Investment Management, Ltd. are subject to the provisions of the Financial Services (Jersey) Law 1998.

For South African Investors Only:

The Pzena Emerging Markets Focused Value Fund, Pzena Emerging Markets Select Value Fund, Pzena Global Focused Value Fund, Pzena Global Value Fund are registered and approved under section 65 of CISCA.

Collective Investment Schemes in Securities (CIS) should be considered as medium- to long-term investments. The value may go up as well as down and past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. CISs are traded at the ruling price and can engage in scrip lending and borrowing. A schedule of fees, charges and maximum commissions is available on request from the Manager. A CIS may be closed to new investors in order for it to be managed more efficiently in accordance with its mandate. There is no guarantee in respect of capital or returns in a portfolio. Representative Office: Prescient Management Company (RF) (Pty) Ltd is registered and approved under the Collective Investment Schemes Control Act (No.45 of 2002). For any additional information such as fund prices, fees, brochures, minimum disclosure documents and application forms please go to www.pzena.com.

© Pzena Investment Management, LLC, 2025. All rights reserved.